

Rafaela Camacho Bejarano

17-04-2022

Facultad de Enfermería, Universidad de Huelva, Huelva, Spain

Editor-in-Chief of Enfermería Clínica

Dear Professor,

Let me introduce my name is Dr. Kelana Kusuma Dharma, I'm a lecturer of nursing school health polytechnic Pontianak (Politeknik Kesehatan Pontianak) Indonesia. Please consider the accompanying original research manuscript entitled "**The Determinants of the Adaptation Process 6 Months after Stroke: A Path Analysis Based on Integration of Roy's Adaptation Model, Caregiver Stress, and Self-Efficacy Theory**" for Enfermería Clínica. In this paper, we identify the patient and caregiver factors that contribute to the adaptation process and quality of life of post-stroke patients. We integrated three theories to explain patient and family caregiver factors related to the adaptation process of post-stroke patients, including caregiver stress theory, self-efficacy theory, and Roy's adaptation model (RAM). The theoretical model of the determinants of adaptation response and post-stroke quality of life was tested using path analysis.

All authors have contributed significantly to this research, agree with the content of the manuscript, have read and approved the manuscript, and take full responsibility for its content. The authors have no conflicts of interest in regard to this research or its funding. This article has been professionally proofread. We also state that this submitted work not be submitted to other journals.

Best regards,

**First author/corresponding author:**

**Dr. Kelana Kusuma Dharma, S.Kp., M.Kes**

Departement of Medical Surgical Nursing

School of Nursing, Politeknik Kesehatan, Kementerian Kesehatan Pontianak, Indonesia

Address: Jalan 28 Oktober Siantan Hulu Pontianak Utara, Indonesia

Phone: +6281345989363

Email: [kelana\\_kusuma@poltekkes-pontianak.ac.id/](mailto:kelana_kusuma@poltekkes-pontianak.ac.id/)

[kelana\\_dharma@yahoo.com](mailto:kelana_dharma@yahoo.com)

## ENFCLIN-D-22-00086: código de referencia de su artículo

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, April 18, 2022 at 05:26 PM GMT+7

---

Estimado/a Dr. Dharma:

Le confirmamos que se ha iniciado el proceso de revisión de su artículo "The Determinan of the Adaptation Process 6 Months after Stroke: A Path Analysis Based on Integration of Roy's Adaptation Model, Caregiver Stress, and Self-Efficacy Theory" (ref. ENFCLIN-D-22-00086), enviado a Enfermería Clínica para su posible publicación.

Tras una revisión por parte del equipo editorial de esta revista, si en el plazo aproximado de 20 días no recibe una carta para informarle de que el manuscrito que nos ha enviado no esta en sintonía con la línea de contenidos de nuestra revista significa que su manuscrito ha pasado a ser evaluado (según la sección a la que se dirija su manuscrito) por uno o dos revisores de nuestro grupo de expertos, externo e independiente, cuyas recomendaciones le transmitiremos posteriormente. Estimamos que el plazo para este primer proceso no debería ser mayor de dos meses.

Le recordamos que la revista se reserva el derecho de introducir aquellas modificaciones formales que la publicación requiera para adecuar el manuscrito a cualquiera de sus secciones. A su vez, esta aceptación supone que la revista Enfermería Clínica tiene todos los derechos de publicación y reproducción total o parcial de este manuscrito.

Rogamos que siempre que se dirija a nosotros para cualquier consulta relativa a este manuscrito utilice el número de referencia que le hemos adjudicado.

Reciba un cordial saludo,

Comité Editorial  
ENFERMERÍA CLÍNICA

Nota: Para consultar el estado de su artículo debe seguir los siguientes pasos:

1. Acceda a la página <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/>.
2. Introduzca sus datos de registro (Usuario: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com; Password: PASSWORD).

Si no sabe o no recuerda su password, entre en:  
[http://ees.elsevier.com/ENFCLIN/automail\\_query.asp](http://ees.elsevier.com/ENFCLIN/automail_query.asp).

3. Acceda como autor al sistema (esto le llevará a su menú principal).

4. Entre en "Submissions Being Processed".

\*\*\*\*\*

Si tiene alguna duda sobre el uso del programa, póngase en contacto con el departamento de Ayuda-EM por teléfono (932 406 176) o correo electrónico (ayuda-ees@elsevier.com).

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

## Ref. ENFCLIN-D-22-00086: decision editorial

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, May 16, 2022 at 09:37 PM GMT+7

---

Dear Dr. Dharma,

The editorial team of our journal has carefully evaluated the manuscript you sent us with the title "The Determinan of the Adaptation Process 6 Months after Stroke: A Path Analysis Based on Integration of Roy's Adaptation Model, Caregiver Stress, and Self-Efficacy Theory" (Ref. ENFCLIN-D-22-00086), as well as the reports of the evaluations carried out. With these evaluations, and in order for the manuscript to be published, we suggest a number of important observations, which should be taken into account before reconsidering the manuscript for possible publication.

You can find these comments at the end of this message.

To send the new version, please enter <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/> with your access codes:

Usuario: Your username is: [kelana\\_dharma@yahoo.com](mailto:kelana_dharma@yahoo.com)

Contraseña:

If you don't know or don't remember your password please, click the following link to reset it: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/l.asp?i=215072&l=CV4LJUJF>

Click the "Submission Needing Revision" folder on your Author Main Menu, then click "Revise Submission" to begin your submission.

Please do not forget to check carefully that your manuscript is fully compliant with our journal's publishing standards.

In order not to lengthen editorial times excessively, we would appreciate it if you would send us the new version of the manuscript before the next 10/06/2022.

Please attach a letter indicating the treatment given to each of the suggestions or, if you do not accept any of them, explain the reasons for not doing so. It is also necessary to send the modifications you have made, highlighted in red, to facilitate their review.

Comité Editorial  
Enfermería Clínica

### EDITOR NOTES

Dear authors.

Thank you for considering Enfermería Clínica for the publication of your research.

While your results are of potential interest, we find relevant issues that need to be revised before the consideration of your proposal for publication. In its current state, the level of English throughout your manuscript does not meet the journal's required standard. We strongly recommend the use of professional language editing services. The Spanish abstract must also be revised carefully.

Title. Please revise "determinan" and consider reducing the length.

The introduction section must be re-written carefully. Please include information regarding the potential impact of the study problem (incidence, impact on health and health services, psychological and social impact for both the person and the caregiver, etc). Cites and previous data must be used along the section to support the statements. I consider some text regarding the theoretical model can be omitted, as so could figure 1, because the model and factors are in fact presented in the results section as figure 2 in the present version.

In the methods section, the context must be briefly described for an international audience. Who were the "trained observers" who collected data? Did they interview or assisted the participants or were the instruments self-administrated? Did the researchers conduct any training for data collection homogenization?

The minimum sample size calculation needs to be explained more carefully. While the calculation employed in your study is typically used for qualitative variable modeling (usually 10 cases per category, not per variable), the study simulation cited does not seem to support the alternative interpretation applied for the present study. Please revise this relevant point.

In the discussion section, study results should be put in contrast to previous research. Also, it is very important that the utility of the study can be identified by the reader.

Reviewer #1: Thank the authors for the work done.  
You are written clearly and correctly.  
the suitable bibliography and the correct results.  
Thank you.

Reviewer #2: Dear authors,  
Thank you very much for the results presented in your manuscript. In general, it looks like a work with potential, although some changes are needed in the presentation and description of the whole manuscript to make it more rigorous and scientifically coherent.

In the introduction, there are long paragraphs that lack references, and seem to be ideas that you present from your own thinking. The introduction, and everything that is said in it, should be correctly referenced.

Also, you put forward some hypotheses regarding the path you have tested. In my view, you should first describe your hypotheses, and then clarify the objective of the study. Figure 1, which I understand to be the result of your hypotheses, must be a result of your study, it cannot be in the introduction, since it is something that you are bringing new to science, and that you are going to test.

In the methods section, you need to better describe the sampling process, as well as exactly what data analysis you did. You seem to have used some sort of partial least squares regression method, although you do not describe that technique or the fit values that are considered optimal.

They should also insert the ethical code of the favourable opinion they obtained.

Regarding the study variables, they appear to have used a battery of instruments, but it is not clear why they chose these, and how they relate to the study's objectives.

The overall impression is that the data have interest and potential, although the manuscript should present them better.

I encourage you to make these changes and resubmit the article.

Yours faithfully,

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Dear:  
 Editors of Enfermeria Clinica Journal

I would like to say thank you very much for reviewing my article entitled: "The Determinant of the Adaptation Process 6 Months after Stroke: A Path Analysis Based on Integration of Roy's Adaptation Model, Caregiver Stress, and Self-Efficacy Theory". I have made several revisions according to reviewers' suggestions as follows:

No	Editor / Reviewers Comment	Revisions by Authors
<b>Editor</b>		
1.	the level of English throughout your manuscript does not meet the journal's required standard. We strongly recommend the use of professional language editing services. The Spanish abstract must also be revised carefully.	We have use the professional editing services to meet the standard language required by the journal. We attached proof reading certificate in the journal's online system.
2.	Title: Please revise "determinant" and consider reducing the length.	We have revised "determinant" in the title and reduced the length. The title becomes: The Factors That Influence the Adaptation Process 6 Months after a Stroke: A Path Analysis
3.	The introduction section must be re-written carefully. Please include information regarding the potential impact of the study problem (incidence, impact on health and health services, psychological and social impact for both the person and the caregiver, etc).	We have re-write the introduction and added information regarding the impact of the study problem (disability and low quality of life after stroke) on the patient, caregiver, and health financing in paragraph 1.
4.	Cites and previous data must be used along the section to support the statements.	We have used cites and previous data to support our statements in along the section
5.	I consider some text regarding the theoretical model can be omitted, as so could figure 1, because the model and factors are in fact presented in the results section as figure 2 in the present version.	We have omitted some text regarding the theoretical model in the introduction, then we present some explanations and theories to support the hypothesis.
6.	In the methods section, the context must be briefly described for an international audience. Who were the "trained observers" who collected data? Did they interview or assisted the participants or were the instruments self-administrated? Did the researchers conduct any training for data collection homogenization?	We have explained who were "trained observer" who collected data. The observer is in charge of observing the physiological adaptation using Barthel index and giving instructions on how to fill out the questionnaire to the respondents. We trained them on how to use the instrument and collect data. (page 6)
7.	The minimum sample size calculation needs to be explained more carefully. While the calculation employed in your study is typically used for qualitative variable modelling (usually 10 cases per category, not per variable), the study simulation cited does not seem to support the alternative	We have explained about formula to calculate sample size in this research (page 6). We have revised the relevant point for sample size calculation (page 6).

No	Editor / Reviewers Comment	Revisions by Authors
	interpretation applied for the present study. Please revise this relevant point.	
8.	In the discussion section, study results should be put in contrast to previous research. Also, it is very important that the utility of the study can be identified by the reader.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have explained one result of this study which contrasts with previous studies (page 13, 2nd paragraph): “This study proves that caregiver coping has been shown to have an effect on the psychosocial adaptation of post-stroke patients, whereas caregiver burden does not show a significant effect. In contrast with previous studies which stated that in stroke patients who experience depression and anxiety, it has been shown that their caregivers experienced a high burden. The burden felt by the caregiver has no impact on the patient's psychosocial adaptation, as long as the caregiver has a good coping mechanism.”</li> <li>• We have explained the utility of the study to the reader: “Nurses need to carry out psychological interventions for post-stroke patients that are focused on increasing self-efficacy and illness acceptance, as well as integrating family caregiver interventions to reduce the burden and improve caregiver coping, to achieve optimal adaptation responses and good quality of life after a stroke.” (page 15: conclusion)</li> </ul>
<b>Reviewer 1:</b>		
9.	You are written clearly and correctly. the suitable bibliography and the correct results.	--
<b>Reviewer 2:</b>		
10.	In the introduction, there are long paragraphs that lack references, and seem to be ideas that you present from your own thinking. The introduction, and everything that is said in it, should be correctly referenced.	We have revised and used references to support our statements.
11.	you put forward some hypotheses regarding the path you have tested. In my view, you should first describe your hypotheses, and then clarify the objective of the study.	We present some explanations and theories to support the hypothesis, then we explain the objective of the study.
12.	Figure 1, which I understand to be the result of your hypotheses, must be a result of your study, it cannot be in the introduction, since it is something that you are bringing new to science, and that you are going to test.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have omitted some text regarding the theoretical model in the introduction.</li> <li>• We have moved the theoretical model (figure 1) to the results section (page 10)</li> </ul>

No	Editor / Reviewers Comment	Revisions by Authors
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We have explained the previous studies and the theories to support the hypothesis, ending by explaining the research objectives in the introduction (page 4 &amp; 5).</li> </ul>
13.	In the methods section, you need to better describe the sampling process, as well as exactly what data analysis you did. You seem to have used some sort of partial least squares regression method, although you do not describe that technique or the fit values that are considered optimal.	We have added an explanation of the sampling process and the formula to calculate sample size in this research (study design and participants in page 5 and 6).
14.	They should also insert the ethical code of the favourable opinion they obtained.	<p>We have inserted the ethical code and research ethics committee, which give us a favourable opinion (ethical consideration on page 9):</p> <p>The research protocol has been reviewed and obtained an ethical clearance statement from the Health Research Ethics Committee (HREC), Health Polytechnic of the Ministry of Health Pontianak Indonesia, with the number: 195.1/KEPK-PK.PKP/V/2019.</p>
15.	Regarding the study variables, they appear to have used a battery of instruments, but it is not clear why they chose these, and how they relate to the study's objectives.	We chose the instrument according to the research objectives and relevant to measure the variables (page 6)

I hope this article can be accepted and published in the Enfermeria Clinica Journal. Thank you so much for your kindness.

Best regard,  
Corresponding author



## Ref. ENFCLIN-D-22-00086R1: decision editorial

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 at 04:14 PM GMT+7

---

Dear Dr. Dharma,

The editorial team of our journal has carefully evaluated the manuscript you sent us with the title "The Factors That Influence the Adaptation Process 6 Months after a Stroke: A Path Analysis" (Ref. ENFCLIN-D-22-00086R1), as well as the reports of the evaluations carried out. With these evaluations, and in order for the manuscript to be published, we suggest a number of important observations, which should be taken into account before reconsidering the manuscript for possible publication.

You can find these comments at the end of this message.

To send the new version, please enter <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/> with your access codes:

Usuario: Your username is: [kelana\\_dharma@yahoo.com](mailto:kelana_dharma@yahoo.com)

Contraseña:

If you don't know or don't remember your password please, click the following link to reset it: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/l.asp?i=216271&I=G3YIH17G>

Click the "Submission Needing Revision" folder on your Author Main Menu, then click "Revise Submission" to begin your submission.

Please do not forget to check carefully that your manuscript is fully compliant with our journal's publishing standards.

In order not to lengthen editorial times excessively, we would appreciate it if you would send us the new version of the manuscript before the next 05/07/2022.

Please attach a letter indicating the treatment given to each of the suggestions or, if you do not accept any of them, explain the reasons for not doing so. It is also necessary to send the modifications you have made, highlighted in red, to facilitate their review.

Comité Editorial  
Enfermería Clínica

Dear authors.

We appreciate the work and changes introduced in the manuscript, which have improved significantly.

Some minor changes/suggestions are now offered, in order to continue with the publication process:

Spanish abstract (resumen):

Line 16: "Los encuestados fueron pacientes que estaban 6 meses después del alta (...) y sus cuidadores familiares". I suggest "Se encuestaron pacientes 6 meses después de su alta (...) y sus familiares cuidadores"

Data collection:

Line 31: "We chose the instruments according to the research objectives and relevance for measuring the variables. We used the following instruments." I suggest:

"According to the research objectives and relevance for measuring the variables, we used the following instruments:"

Data collection procedure:

Please include dates of data collection.

Regards

Reviewer #1: Thank the authors for the work done.

Reviewer #2: Dear authors,

Thank you very much for the improvements you have implemented.

Best regards

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Dear:  
 Editors of Enfermeria Clinica Journal

I would like to say thank you very much for reviewing my article entitled: " The Factors That Influence the Adaptation Process 6 Months after a Stroke: A Path Analysis". I have made several revisions according to editor suggestions as follows:

No	Editor / Reviewers Comment	Revisions by Authors
<b>Editor</b>		
1.	Spanish abstract (resumen): Line 16: "Los encuestados fueron pacientes que estaban 6 meses después del alta (...) y sus cuidadores familiares". I suggest "Se encuestaron pacientes 6 meses después de su alta (...) y sus familiares cuidadores"	We have revised according to the editor's suggestion (in Spanish abstract): "Se encuestaron pacientes 6 meses después de su alta después de un accidente cerebrovascular no hemorrágico y sus familiares cuidadores".
2.	Data collection: Line 31: "We chose the instruments according to the research objectives and relevance for measuring the variables. We used the following instruments:" I suggest: "According to the research objectives and relevance for measuring the variables, we used the following instruments:"	We have revised according to the editor's suggestion (in data collection): "According to the research objectives and relevance for measuring the variables, we used the following instruments:"
3.	Data collection procedure: Please include dates of data collection.	We have revised according to the editor's suggestion (in data collection): "This study was conducted over 9 months, from February 2020 to October 2020."
<b>Reviewer #1</b>		
4.	Thank the authors for the work done.	-
<b>Reviewer #2</b>		
5.	Thank you very much for the improvements you have implemented. Best regards	-

I hope this article can be accepted and published in the Enfermeria Clinica Journal. Thank you so much for your kindness.

Best regard,  
 Corresponding author

## ENFCLIN-D-22-00086R2: acuse de recibo de sus modificaciones

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, June 22, 2022 at 11:11 AM GMT+7

---

Estimado/a Dr. Dharma:

Acusamos recibo de la versión modificada de su artículo (Ref. ENFCLIN-D-22-00086R2).

Le recordamos que puede consultar el estado de su manuscrito en <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/>.

Muchas gracias por su interés en Enfermería Clínica.

Atentamente,

Comité Editorial  
Enfermería Clínica

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

## ENFCLIN-D-22-00086R2: decisión editorial

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, June 23, 2022 at 01:05 PM GMT+7

---

Dear Dr. Dharma,

We are glad to inform you that your article "The Factors That Influence the Adaptation Process 6 Months after a Stroke: A Path Analysis" (Ref. ENFCLIN-D-22-00086R2) has been accepted for its publication in Enfermería Clínica. We will let you know the issue as soon as we know.

Please note that, before its publication, you will receive the proofs of your article and the translated version, in pdf format, at this same e-mail address

We appreciate and value your contribution to Enfermería Clínica. We regularly invite authors of recently published manuscript to participate in the peer review process. If you were not already part of the journal's reviewer pool, you have now been added to it. We look forward to your continued participation in our journal, and we hope you will consider us again for future submissions.

Thank you for your contribution to the journal.

Yours sincerely,

Enfermería Clínica

Comentarios para el autor

Dear Authors.

Thank you for the adoption of the suggestions and your efforts improving the manuscript, which we accept in the present state.

Kind regards.

MA Rodriguez-Calero

Assoc Editor

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.