

Rafaela Camacho Bejarano

27-05-2020

Facultad de Enfermería, Universidad de Huelva, Huelva, Spain

Editor-in-Chief of Enfermería Clínica

Dear Professor,

Let me introduce my name is Dr. Kelana Kusuma Dharma, I'm a lecturer of nursing school health polytechnic Pontianak (Poleteknik Kesehatan Pontianak) Indonesia. Please consider the accompanying original research article entitle "**An effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model: Requires a family support system**" for publication in Enfermería Clínica. In this paper, we explain an effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model based on stroke survivors' experience undergoing the process of adaptation (qualitative research) and Roy's Adaptation Model. All authors have read and approved the manuscript and take full responsibility for its content. All authors have contributed significantly to this research, and all authors agree with the content of the manuscript. The authors have no conflicts of interest regarding this research or its funding. We also state that the article and its content has not been published or submitted for publication elsewhere.

Best regards,

**First author/corresponding author:**

**Dr. Kelana Kusuma Dharma, S.Kp., M.Kes**

Departement of Medical Surgical Nursing

School of Nursing, Politeknik Kesehatan,

Kementerian Kesehatan Pontianak, Indonesia

Address: Jalan 28 Oktober Siantan Hulu

Pontianak Utara, Indonesia

Phone: +6281345989363

Email: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

**Second author:**

**Ns. Halina Rahayu, M.Kep**

School of Nursing, Politeknik Kesehatan,

Kementerian Kesehatan Pontianak, Indonesia

Phone: +6281352050352

Email: halinarahayu@yahoo.com

## Enfermería Clínica: confirmación de envío

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 at 10:24 PM GMT+7

---

Estimado/a Dr. Dharma:

Le confirmamos la recepción del artículo titulado: "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model: Requires a family support system", que nos ha enviado para su posible publicación en Enfermería Clínica.

En breve recibirá un mensaje con el número de referencia asignado y se iniciará el proceso de revisión del artículo. En caso de que sea necesario que haga algún cambio previo, también se le notificará por correo electrónico.

Tal y como se especifica en las normas de publicación de la revista, le recordamos que su manuscrito no puede ser publicado en ninguna otra revista mientras dure el proceso de revisión.

No dude en contactar con la redacción para cualquier información adicional.

Reciba un cordial saludo,

EM  
Enfermería Clínica

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

## ENFCLIN-20-126: código de referencia de su artículo

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 at 02:58 PM GMT+7

---

Estimado/a Dr. Dharma:

Le confirmamos que se ha iniciado el proceso de revisión de su artículo "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model: Requires a family support system" (ref. ENFCLIN-20-126), enviado a Enfermería Clínica para su posible publicación.

Tras una revisión por parte del equipo editorial de esta revista, si en el plazo aproximado de 20 días no recibe una carta para informarle de que el manuscrito que nos ha enviado no esta en sintonía con la línea de contenidos de nuestra revista significa que su manuscrito ha pasado a ser evaluado (según la sección a la que se dirija su manuscrito) por uno o dos revisores de nuestro grupo de expertos, externo e independiente, cuyas recomendaciones le transmitiremos posteriormente. Estimamos que el plazo para este primer proceso no debería ser mayor de dos meses.

Le recordamos que la revista se reserva el derecho de introducir aquellas modificaciones formales que la publicación requiera para adecuar el manuscrito a cualquiera de sus secciones. A su vez, esta aceptación supone que la revista Enfermería Clínica tiene todos los derechos de publicación y reproducción total o parcial de este manuscrito.

Rogamos que siempre que se dirija a nosotros para cualquier consulta relativa a este manuscrito utilice el número de referencia que le hemos adjudicado.

Reciba un cordial saludo,

Comité Editorial  
ENFERMERÍA CLÍNICA

Nota: Para consultar el estado de su artículo debe seguir los siguientes pasos:

1. Acceda a la página <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/>.
2. Introduzca sus datos de registro (Usuario: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com; Password: PASSWORD).

Si no sabe o no recuerda su password, entre en:  
[http://ees.elsevier.com/ENFCLIN/automail\\_query.asp](http://ees.elsevier.com/ENFCLIN/automail_query.asp).

3. Acceda como autor al sistema (esto le llevará a su menú principal).

4. Entre en "Submissions Being Processed".

\*\*\*\*\*

Si tiene alguna duda sobre el uso del programa, póngase en contacto con el departamento de Ayuda-EM por teléfono (932 406 176) o correo electrónico ([ayuda-ees@elsevier.com](mailto:ayuda-ees@elsevier.com)).

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

**Editorial Committee:**

Dear authors, we would like to thank your interest in the journal "Enfermería Clínica".

After the first revision, the limitations found in the manuscript entitled "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model: Requires a family support system" do not allow it can be published in the current format.

However, the manuscript could be considered to a new peer-revision if you make the changes that are proposed bellow.

If changes are not satisfactory, the manuscript can be rejected.

It is necessary that, in the new version of the manuscript, the changes are highlighted using a different colour.

In addition, a response letter should be submitted in which author show each change according with reviewers' comments.

**Reviewer #1:**

Phenomenological research focused on the adaptation of post-stroke patients. Use the Callista Roy model to categorize results. Topic of interest to the nursing community.

Appropriate methodology for the objectives that are intended. Participants, data collection and analysis technique are described, and results supported by verbatim are presented. Wide discussion.

It is suggested, however:

- Review the title. Although it is on the subject of study, it is recommended to review the title trying to eliminate the colon.
- It is recommended to review the Spanish version, especially the construction of sentences and verbal agreement.
- It would be interesting to review the wording of the objective (both in the summary and in the introduction). As it is written, it gives the impression that the participants have been subjected to an adaptation program, when what happens in the study is that the adaptation model of Callista Roy is used to categorize the findings.

**Reviewer #2:**

General observations on the manuscript: the manuscript corresponds to the editorial line of the journal, being appropriate if some methodological issues are clarified that the authors have not explained in the text. There are some grammatical punctuation errors described in the comments to the authors.

- Appropriate title.

- Summary: adequate. Only one typo in the Spanish version with the heading of the Results section. Some keywords do not match the DeCS / MeSH descriptors, as the authors have commented.

- Introduction: addresses and correctly places the reader in the study problem, framing it in a theoretical nursing model.

- Method: The method is suitable for the purpose of the investigation; makes clear the rigor followed during the qualitative research process. Although some aspects should be clarified about whether or not software has been used for the analysis of the interviews and make it

explicit if data saturation has been reached. On the other hand, although the informants have been codified, in the ethical aspects it should be explained.

- Results: they are correctly structured, according to the categories of analysis proposed

- Discussion: adequate. On page 16 (line 15), there appears to be an error using the bibliographic reference style.

- Tables and figures: In my opinion, Table 2 duplicates the information shown in Figure 1. Although it is quite graphic, it is possible that this Table can be omitted to expose the information related to the categories and subcategories of analysis in a way. narrative.

- Bibliography: some references are more than 10 years old, although many others are less than 5 years old.

### **Reviewer #2:**

At first, I would like to thank the authors of this manuscript for the opportunity to read their work. The qualitative methodology is necessary for the development of knowledge in the field of Nursing, especially when an approach is made to aspects related to experiences after a health problem as important as the one studied.

I have only some methodological comments I would like to clarify:

Firstly, in relation to data analysis, although you have explained in detail the procedure followed for the categorization of the interviews after their transcription, it is not clear whether they have used any qualitative software.

Secondly, it is not clear that data saturation has been reached.

Thirdly, regarding ethical aspects, it is not explicit that alphanumeric coding has been performed to hide the identity of the informants.

Spanish abstract: you should head the Result section on a new line.

keywords: the right DeCS/MeSH for Coping/Afrontamiento is Adaptation, Psychological/Adaptación Psicológica. Family support/Apoyo familiar is no a DeCS/MeSH keyword, you should chose another one, such as Family/Familia or Social support/Apoyo social.

Grammatical errors:

Page 6, line 57: after (P3,) don't put (,).

At the end of some verbatims, sometimes you put any end point and other ones do not put a punctuation mark. Eg: page 7, line 8 vs. lines 31, 44, 52.

Page 16, it say: "...and the quality of life of poststroke patients (Author. Year)". I think there is a style reference mistake.

**Komite Editorial:**

Para penulis yang terhormat, kami ingin mengucapkan terima kasih atas ketertarikan Anda pada jurnal "Enfermería Clínica".

Setelah revisi pertama, keterbatasan yang ditemukan pada naskah berjudul "Model Perilaku Adaptasi Pasca Stroke yang Efektif: Memerlukan Sistem Dukungan Keluarga" tidak memungkinkan dapat diterbitkan dalam format saat ini.

Namun, manuskrip dapat dianggap sebagai revisi sejawat baru jika Anda membuat perubahan yang diusulkan di bawah.

Jika perubahan tidak memuaskan, naskah bisa ditolak.

Perlu bahwa, dalam versi baru manuskrip, perubahan disorot menggunakan warna yang berbeda.

Selain itu, surat tanggapan harus diserahkan di mana penulis menunjukkan setiap perubahan sesuai dengan komentar pengulas.

**Reviewer #1:**

Penelitian fenomenologi difokuskan pada adaptasi pasien pasca stroke. Gunakan model Callista Roy untuk mengkategorikan hasil. Topik yang menarik bagi komunitas perawat. Metodologi yang sesuai untuk tujuan yang dimaksudkan. Peserta, teknik pengumpulan dan analisis data dijelaskan, dan hasil didukung oleh kata demi kata disajikan. Diskusi yang luas.

Namun, disarankan:

- Review judul Meskipun itu tentang subjek studi, dianjurkan untuk meninjau judul mencoba menghilangkan titik dua.
- Direkomendasikan untuk meninjau versi bahasa Spanyol, terutama konstruksi kalimat dan perjanjian lisan.
- Sangat menarik untuk meninjau kata-kata tujuan (baik dalam ringkasan dan pendahuluan). Seperti yang tertulis di atas, memberikan kesan bahwa peserta telah menjalani program adaptasi, padahal yang terjadi dalam penelitian ini adalah model adaptasi Callista Roy digunakan untuk mengkategorikan temuan.

**Reviewer #2:**

Pengamatan umum pada manuskrip: manuskrip sesuai dengan baris editorial jurnal, dianggap sesuai jika beberapa masalah metodologis diklarifikasi yang belum dijelaskan oleh penulis dalam teks. Ada beberapa kesalahan tanda baca tata bahasa yang dijelaskan dalam komentar untuk penulis.

- Judul yang sesuai.

- Ringkasan: cukup. Hanya satu kesalahan ketik dalam versi Spanyol dengan judul di bagian Hasil. Beberapa kata kunci tidak cocok dengan deskriptor DeCS / MeSH, seperti yang telah dikomentari oleh penulis.

- Pendahuluan: membahas dan menempatkan pembaca dengan benar dalam masalah studi, mbingkainya dalam model keperawatan teoretis.

- Metode: Metode ini sesuai untuk tujuan investigasi; memperjelas ketelitian yang diikuti selama proses penelitian kualitatif. Meskipun beberapa aspek harus diklarifikasi tentang apakah perangkat lunak telah digunakan untuk analisis wawancara dan membuatnya eksplisit jika

kejenuhan data telah tercapai. Di sisi lain, meskipun informannya sudah terkodefikasi, namun aspek etika harus dijelaskan.

- Hasil: terstruktur dengan benar, sesuai dengan kategori analisis yang diusulkan

- Diskusi: cukup. Pada halaman 16 (baris 15), tampaknya ada kesalahan dalam menggunakan gaya referensi bibliografi.

- Tabel dan gambar: Menurut pendapat saya, Tabel 2 menduplikasi informasi yang ditunjukkan pada Gambar 1. Meskipun cukup grafis, ada kemungkinan Tabel ini dapat dihilangkan untuk mengekspos informasi yang terkait dengan kategori dan subkategori analisis dengan cara cerita.

- Daftar Pustaka: beberapa referensi berusia lebih dari 10 tahun, meskipun banyak referensi lainnya yang berusia kurang dari 5 tahun.

### **Reviewer #2:**

Pertama-tama, saya ingin berterima kasih kepada penulis naskah ini atas kesempatannya untuk membaca karya mereka. Metodologi kualitatif diperlukan untuk pengembangan pengetahuan di bidang Keperawatan, terutama bila pendekatan dilakukan terhadap aspek-aspek yang berkaitan dengan pengalaman setelah suatu masalah kesehatan sama pentingnya dengan yang dipelajari.

Saya hanya memiliki beberapa komentar metodologis yang ingin saya klarifikasi:

Pertama, dalam kaitannya dengan analisis data, meskipun Anda telah menjelaskan secara rinci prosedur yang diikuti untuk kategorisasi wawancara setelah transkripsinya, tidak jelas apakah mereka telah menggunakan perangkat lunak kualitatif.

Kedua, tidak jelas apakah kejenuhan data telah tercapai.

Ketiga, terkait aspek etika, pengkodean alfanumerik tidak secara eksplisit dilakukan untuk menyembunyikan identitas informan.

Abstrak Spanyol: Anda harus menuju bagian Hasil di baris baru.

Kata kunci: DeCS / MeSH yang tepat untuk Coping / Afrontamiento adalah Adaptasi, Psikologis / Adaptación Psicológica. Family support / familiar Apoyo bukan kata kunci DeCS / MeSH, sebaiknya pilih yang lain, seperti Family / Familia atau Social support / Apoyo social.

Kesalahan tata bahasa:

Halaman 6, baris 57: setelah (P3,) jangan letakkan (,).

Di akhir beberapa kata kerja, terkadang Anda mencantumkan titik akhir dan yang lain tidak memberi tanda baca. Misalnya: halaman 7, baris 8 vs. baris 31, 44, 52.

Halaman 16, berbunyi: "... dan kualitas hidup pasien pasca stroke (Penulis. Tahun)". Saya pikir ada kesalahan referensi gaya.

Dear:  
 Editors of Enfermeria Clinica Journal

I would like to say thank you very much for reviewing my article entitled: "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system". I have made several revisions according to reviewers' suggestions as follows:

No	Reviewers Comment	Revisions by Authors
<b>Reviewer 1</b>		
1.	Review the title. Although it is on the subject of study, it is recommended to review the title trying to eliminate the colon.2	We have eliminated the colon 2 in the title.
2.	It is recommended to review the Spanish version, especially the construction of sentences and verbal agreement.	We have corrected and fix abstract writing in Spanish (page 1)
3.	It would be interesting to review the wording of the objective (both in the summary and in the introduction). As it is written, it gives the impression that the participants have been subjected to an adaptation program, when what happens in the study is that the adaptation model of Callista Roy is used to categorize the findings.	We have revised the research objective (in abstract and in the introduction) according to the reviewers' suggestions: "This study aimed to explain the effective post-stroke adaptation behavior based on the Roy Adaptation Model (RAM) through the patient experiences when undergoing the adaptation process after stroke". (page1 and 3)
<b>Reviewer 2</b>		
4.	Appropriate title.	We have eliminated the colon 2 in the title
5.	Summary: adequate. Only one typo in the Spanish version with the heading of the Results section. Some keywords do not match the DeCS / MesH descriptors, as the authors have commented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have corrected and fix abstract writing in Spanish</li> <li>• We have revised keywords to match with the MeSH term:            Keywords: physiological adaptation, psychological adaptation, coping behavior, stroke (page 1)</li> </ul>
6.	Introduction: addresses and correctly places the reader in the study problem, framing it in a theoretical nursing model.	No correction from the reviewer
7.	Method: The method is suitable for the purpose of the investigation; makes clear the rigor followed during the qualitative research process. Although some aspects should be clarified about whether or not software has been used for the analysis of the interviews and make it explicit if data saturation has been reached. On the other hand, although the informants have been	a. We have added an explanation of how to analyze the data and saturation of the data in the "participants" section on page 4: We used content analysis to evaluate the data. We interviewed eleven participants who met the inclusion criteria but found no new data for meaning unit, sub-category, category, and theme in the final three



No	Reviewers Comment	Revisions by Authors
	codified, in the ethical aspects it should be explained.	<p>participants, <b><u>which indicates data saturation has reached</u></b>. The results and conclusions were therefore based on the eight participants (page 4)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We have explained about the ethical aspect and confidentiality of participants explicit in “ethical aspect” (page 6): “We strived to protect human rights and welfare at all times. We guarantee the confidentiality of participants by creating a participant code. We do not publish personal data or any data related to the identity of participants”.</li> </ul>
8.	Results: they are correctly structured, according to the categories of analysis proposed	No correction from the reviewer
9.	Discussion: adequate. On page 16 (line 15), there appears to be an error using the bibliographic reference style.	We have revised the reference style (citation) on page 16. Originally written (Author. Year) changed to reference number [20].
10.	Tables and figures: In my opinion, Table 2 duplicates the information shown in Figure 1. Although it is quite graphic, it is possible that this Table can be omitted to expose the information related to the categories and subcategories of analysis in a way narrative.	Due to the duplication between table 2 and figure 1, we have removed table 2 from the text.
11.	Bibliography: some references are more than 10 years old, although many others are less than 5 years old.	<p>We have updated the references used in this study so that most of the references used are those published in the last 10 years. Forty-eight percent of references used are those published within the last 5 years. We use references (books) published more than 10 years that explain the theories and concepts:</p> <p>[6] Roy SC, Andrews HA. Roy adaptation model. Stamford: Stamford: Appleton &amp; Lange; 1999.</p> <p>[12] Alligood M, Tommey A. Nursing theorists and their work. 6th ed. Mosby Inc; 2006.</p>
<b>Reviewer 3</b>		
12.	Firstly, in relation to data analysis, although you have explained in detail the procedure followed for the categorization of the interviews after	We don't use any qualitative software to analyse the data. We used the content analysis to evaluate the data in this study. All processes of content analysis done by

No	Reviewers Comment	Revisions by Authors
	their transcription, it is not clear whether they have used <b><u>any qualitative software.</u></b>	checking the words presented in the sentence (verbatim data from in-depth interviews) delivered by the participants one by one manually.
13.	Secondly, it is not clear that <b><u>data saturation has been reached.</u></b>	We have added an explanation of the data saturation in the "participants" section on page 4: "We interviewed eleven participants who met the inclusion criteria but found no new data for meaning unit, sub-category, category, and theme in the final three participants, <b><u>which indicates data saturation has reached.</u></b> The results and conclusions were therefore based on the eight participants" (page 4)
14.	Thirdly, regarding ethical aspects, it is not explicit that alphanumeric coding has been performed to hide the identity of the informants.	We have explained about the ethical aspect and confidentiality of participants explicit in "ethical aspect" (page 6): "We strived to protect human rights and welfare at all times. <b>We guarantee the confidentiality of participants by creating a participant code.</b> We do not publish personal data or any data related to the identity of participants".
15.	Spanish abstract: you should head the Result section on a new line.	We have moved the head of the result section on a new line (Spanish abstract) (page 2)
16.	Keywords: the right DeCS/MeSH for Coping/Afrontamiento is Adaptation, Psychological/Adaptación Psicológica. Family support/Apoyo familiar is no a DeCS/MeSH keyword, you should chose another one, such as Family/Familia or Social support/Apoyo social.	We have revised keywords to match with the MeSH term: Keywords: physiological adaptation, psychological adaptation, coping behavior, stroke (page 1)
17.	Grammatical errors: Page 6, line 57: after (P3,) don't put (,).	We've removed the comma (,) punctuation on page 6 line 57 after P3: <i>"My arm was weak, my left leg as well. Moreover, I felt too weak to move and was not as fast as before."</i> (P2, P3)
18.	At the end of some verbatims, sometimes you put any end point and other ones do not put a punctuation mark. Eg: page 7, line 8 vs. lines 31, 44, 52.	We have revised the writing of verbatim in the text. We do not put a punctuation mark at the endpoint of verbatims. So that the writing of verbatim data becomes consistent.

<b>No</b>	<b>Reviewers Comment</b>	<b>Revisions by Authors</b>
19	Page 16, it say: "...and the quality of life of poststroke patients (Author. Year)". I think there is a style reference mistake.	We have revised the reference style (citation) on page 16. Originally written (Author. Year) changed to reference number [20].

I hope this article can be accepted and published in the Enfermeria Clinica Journal. Thank you so much for your kindness.

Best regard,  
Corresponding author

## ENFCLIN: Confirmación pendiente / Pending OK

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Sunday, August 23, 2020 at 01:11 PM GMT+7

---

Enfermería Clínica

Título: The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system

Autores: Kelana Kusuma Kusuma Dharma, Ph.D; Halina Rahayu, Master in Nursing

Estimado/a Kelana:

El PDF de su artículo "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system" ya se ha generado y está listo para su aprobación. Por favor, revise el pdf antes de aceptarlo para confirmar que no contiene errores. Si ya ha aceptado el PDF de su artículo, ignore este mensaje.

Para aprobar el PDF, por favor, entre en su cuenta de Editorial Manager como Autor:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/>

Your username is: [kelana\\_dharma@yahoo.com](mailto:kelana_dharma@yahoo.com)

Entre en la carpeta "Submissions Waiting for Author's Approval" para revisar y aceptar el PDF de su artículo. Si lo desea, puede clicar en "Action Links" para desplegar los links de la columna "Action".

También tendrá que confirmar que ha leído y que acepta la declaración "Ethics in Publishing" antes de completar el proceso de envío. Una vez termine todos estos pasos, recibirá un email de confirmación de la Oficina Editorial.

Para más información o si tiene alguna duda con el proceso de envío, consulte:

[http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a\\_id/1411/p/7923/](http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/1411/p/7923/).

Por favor, asegúrese de que todos los componentes de su artículo aparecen correctamente en el PDF ya que no será posible modificarlo una vez haya confirmado que es correcto. Si tiene algún problema con el PDF o para completar estos pasos, consulte [http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a\\_id/1411/p/7923/](http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/1411/p/7923/).

Recibirá un email con el número de referencia de su artículo cuando este se asigne a uno de los editores de la revista.

Gracias por su tiempo y su paciencia.

Reciba un cordial saludo,

Oficina Editorial  
Enfermería Clínica

\*\*\*\*\*

Para más información sobre el programa, visite nuestra página de soporte

[http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a\\_id/732/p/7923](http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/732/p/7923) (listado de soluciones disponibles en español. Para buscar más soluciones en inglés, introduzca su búsqueda en el panel superior).

En esta página encontrará soluciones a diversos temas, tutoriales interactivos y las respuestas a las preguntas más frecuentes sobre el funcionamiento del EM. También encontrará los datos de contacto de nuestro servicio de asistencia telefónica 24/7, en caso que necesite ayuda de uno de nuestros agentes.

---

Dear Kelana,

The PDF for your submission, "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system" has now been built and is ready for your approval. Please view the submission before approving it, to be certain that it is free of any errors. If you have already approved the PDF of your submission, this e-mail can be ignored.

To approve the PDF please login to the Editorial Manager as an Author:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/>

Your username is: [kelana\\_dharma@yahoo.com](mailto:kelana_dharma@yahoo.com)

Then click on the folder 'Submissions Waiting for Author's Approval' to view and approve the PDF of your submission. You may need to click on 'Action Links' to expand your Action Links menu.

You will also need to confirm that you have read and agree with the Elsevier Ethics in Publishing statement before the submission process can be completed. Once all of the above steps are done, you will receive an e-mail confirming receipt of your submission from the Editorial Office. For further information or if you have trouble completing these steps please go to: [http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a\\_id/88/p/7923](http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/88/p/7923).

Please note that you are required to ensure everything appears appropriately in PDF and no change can be made after approving a submission. If you have any trouble with the generated PDF or completing these steps please go to: [http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a\\_id/88/p/7923](http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/88/p/7923).

Your submission will be given a reference number once an Editor has been assigned to handle it.

Thank you for your time and patience.

Kind regards,  
Editorial Office  
Enfermería Clínica

\*\*\*\*\*

For further assistance, please visit our customer support site at <http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/7923>. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions and learn more about EM via interactive tutorials. You will also find our 24/7 support contact details should you need any further assistance from one of our customer support representatives.

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

## ENFCLIN-20-126R1: acuse de recibo de sus modificaciones

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Sunday, August 23, 2020 at 01:14 PM GMT+7

---

Estimado/a Dr. Dharma:

Acusamos recibo de la versión modificada de su artículo (Ref. ENFCLIN-20-126R1).

Le recordamos que puede consultar el estado de su manuscrito en <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/>.

Muchas gracias por su interés en Enfermería Clínica.

Atentamente,

Comité Editorial  
Enfermería Clínica

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

## Ref. ENFCLIN-20-126R1: decision editorial

---

From: Enfermería Clínica (em@editorialmanager.com)

To: kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, October 27, 2020 at 07:34 PM GMT+7

---

Estimado/a Dr. Dharma:

El equipo editorial de nuestra revista ha valorado detenidamente el manuscrito que nos remitió con el título "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system" (Ref. ENFCLIN-20-126R1), así como los informes de las evaluaciones efectuadas. Con estas evaluaciones, y para que el manuscrito pueda ser publicado le sugerimos una serie de observaciones importantes, que deben ser tenidas en cuenta antes de proceder a una reconsideración del mismo para su posible publicación.

Puede encontrar estos comentarios al final de este mensaje.

Para enviar la nueva versión, entre en <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/> con sus claves de acceso:

Usuario: Your username is: [kelana\\_dharma@yahoo.com](mailto:kelana_dharma@yahoo.com)

Contraseña:

Si no sabe o no recuerda su password pinche en el siguiente enlace para resetearlo:

<https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/l.asp?i=162041&l=5GU87A11>

Haga clic en la carpeta "Submission Needing Revision" de su Author Main Menu y, a continuación, haga clic en "Revise Submission" para empezar el envío.

Por favor, no se olvide de comprobar minuciosamente que su manuscrito se adapta en su totalidad a las normas de publicación de nuestra revista.

Para no alargar en exceso los tiempos editoriales, le agradeceremos que nos envíe la nueva versión del manuscrito antes del próximo 21/11/2020.

Rogamos nos adjunte una carta en que se indique puntualmente el tratamiento que se ha dado a cada una de las sugerencias o, en caso de no aceptar alguna de ellas, explique los motivos para no hacerlo. También es necesario que envíe las modificaciones que haya realizado resaltadas en rojo, para facilitar su revisión.

PLEASE NOTE: You can enrich your article with interactive data visualizations such as line and scatter charts, MATLAB files, geospatial data in Google Maps, high resolution images, t- and z-stacks, phylogenetic trees and 3D images. For certain data repositories, we can enrich your article with relevant links and information if you include accession numbers in your manuscript. Instructions are available via <https://www.elsevier.com/authors/author-services/enrichments>

Le agradecemos su interés por nuestra revista y sinceramente esperamos recibir la versión corregida de su trabajo en los plazos estipulados.

Reciba un cordial saludo,

Comité Editorial  
Enfermería Clínica

### COMENTARIOS PARA LOS AUTORES:

Editorial Committee:

Dear authors, we would like to thank your interest in the journal "Enfermería Clínica".

After this new revision, the manuscript need some changes before it can be accepted. On the submission platform you can check we made some changes to following archives: manuscript, what is kown/what it contributes. These changes respond to a critical reading of your manuscript according to author's guideline of Enfermería Clínica. In addition, there are some comments that you must address. Please, take into accout that if changes are not satisfactory, the manuscript can be rejected.

It is necessary that, in the new version of the manuscript, the changes are highlighted using a different colour.

\*\*\*\*\*

Si tiene alguna duda sobre el uso del programa, póngase en contacto con el departamento de Ayuda-EM por teléfono (932 406 176) o correo electrónico ([ayuda-ees@elsevier.com](mailto:ayuda-ees@elsevier.com)).

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.



Dear:  
 Editors of Enfermeria Clinica Journal

We would like to say thank you very much for reviewing my article entitled: "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system". We have made second revisions according to the Editorial Committee suggestions as follows:

No	Editorial Committee Comment	Revisions by Authors
1.	Please, include the two paragraphs, what is known and what it contributes, separately Both paragraphs must not sum-up more than 500 characters (included spaces)	We have included the two paragraphs, what is known and what it contributes, separately. Both paragraphs less than 500 characters.
2.	Please, check the COREQ statement to be sure that included all the relevant information in study design.	There is a total 32-item checklist of COREQ statements for qualitative research, and 15 of these items are a must-have part of the study design. We checked one by one and added some information to complete the checklist, such as the interview guide and the duration of the interviews.
3.	Please, include the interview guide	We have included the interview guide in the manuscript (page 4-5).
4.	Then, you did not use the last three interviews? And what did you do with the data from those patients?	We conducted in-depth interviews with 11 participants, and in the last 3 participants, we found no new data. So that the qualitative analysis in this study should come from the results of in-depth interviews with these 11 participants. We have added the data for these 3 participants (P9, P10, P11) to the participant's socio-demographic characteristics table along with the narrative explanation. We have also added the data for these three participants to the results section of the manuscript (page 6).
5.	Who conducted the interviews?	The interviews were conducted by researchers (page 5).
6.	Please, state the profiles of the team of researchers and experts.	We have stated the profiles of the expert who analyzed the data: The experts assisting in the data analysis are senior researchers in qualitative research who have a high level of experience conducting a content analysis (page 6).
7.	Include the information of ethical clearance committee and Institutional Review Board number?	We have included information about the ethical clearance committee and Institutional Review Board number (page 6).

No	Editorial Committee Comment	Revisions by Authors
8.	The limitations of the study must be included	<p>We have included the limitations of the study in the page 17:</p> <p>“The limitation of this study is that we did not include patients who had severe strokes, cognitive impairments, and unstable medical conditions as participants. So that the results of this study do not describe the adaptive behavior of patients with these criteria. This may limit the generalizability of this study. This study also did not consider cultural factors that could influence the adaptive behavior of post-stroke patients, thus limiting the generalizability of this study.”</p>
9.	Figure must be presented in results' section	We have presented the figure 1 in the last part of the result section (page 13).

All revisions and changes we have made in the manuscript are highlighted using a different colour. We hope this article can be accepted and published in the Enfermeria Clinica Journal. Thank you so much for your kindness.

Best regard,  
Corresponding author

**Date:** 23/11/2020  
**To:** "Kelana Kusuma Kusuma Dharma" kelana\_dharma@yahoo.com;kelanakusuma79@gmail.com  
**From:** "Enfermería Clínica" enfermeriaclinica@elsevier.com  
**Subject:** ENFCLIN-20-126R2: decisión editorial

Dear Dr. Dharma,

We are glad to inform you that your article "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system" (Ref. ENFCLIN-20-126R2) has been accepted for its publication in Enfermería Clínica. We will let you know the issue as soon as we know.

Please remember that, before publication, you will receive an e-mail with the galley proofs of your article in pdf format.

Thank you for your contribution to the journal.

Yours sincerely,

Enfermería Clínica

Comments author

Dear authors:

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript "The effective post-stroke adaptation behavior model requires a family support system" can now be accepted for being published in Enfermería Clínica/Clinical Nursing Journal.

Remember that you will be alerted by email about the availability of page proofs and about any other actions needed from you. Please respond to such emails as quickly as you can to avoid delays in the appearance of your paper in the journal.

Finally, if you want that your article is published in Spanish for more visibility, please, send a copy of this to the editorial office as soon as possible.

Sincerely

Editorial Committee

---

Usted puede solicitar que eliminemos sus detalles personales de registro en cualquier momento. (Utilice el siguiente URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/enfclin/login.asp?a=r>) Por favor póngase en contacto con la oficina de la publicación si tiene cualquier pregunta.